

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/431,607	11/01/1999	LOUIS E. HENDERSON	15280-169300	8955
20350	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
	D AND TOWNSEND	FOLEY, SHANON A		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Evenines Initiated Intentions Summans	09/431,607	HENDERSON ET AL.
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shanon Foley	1648
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>After Final</u>
(1) Shanon Foley.	(3)	
(2) Eugenia Garrett-Wackowski.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	licant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 24 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Sunh Jolg		
(Examiner) (Applications)	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: SF requested that the words "membrane permeable" be inserted before "compound" in line 3 to more clearly identify the types of compounds encompassed by the invention, as discussed in applicant's response filed December 20, 2004. On September 7, 2005, EGW left a message affirming the change, but requested that the words "membrane impermeant" be used instead to reflect the arguments of 12/20/04. On September 8, SF returned a message stating that a positive recitation of what the compounds can do is more clear than a negative description of what they cannot do. SF is awaiting confirmation of the change. Since EGW stated on September 7 that "membrane permeable" was OK, although another description was preferred, a Notice of Allowability will be forwarded to applicant with the language "membrane permeable" inserted into line 3 of claim 24 directly before "compound" if no further message from EGW is forwarded.